

## Erratum: $K_{\alpha}$ fluorescence measurement of relativistic electron transport in the context of fast ignition [Phys. Rev. E 69, 066414 (2004)]

R. B. Stephens, R. A. Snavely, Y. Aglitskiy, F. Amiranoff, C. Andersen, D. Batani, S. D. Baton, T. Cowan, R. R. Freeman, T. Hall, S. P. Hatchett, J. M. Hill, M. H. Key, J. A. King, J. A. Koch, M. Koenig, A. J. MacKinnon, K. L. Lancaster, E. Martinolli, P. Norreys, E. Perelli-Cippo, M. Rabec Le Gloahec, C. Rousseaux, J. J. Santos, and F. Scianitti  
(Received 15 December 2004; published 4 March 2005)

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.71.039901 PACS number(s): 52.50.Jm, 52.38.Kd, 52.70.La, 52.57.Kk, 99.10.Cd

The version of Fig. 4 that was inadvertently included in the original publication did not show the results of Monte Carlo modeling referred to in the caption and the text. The correct figure and its caption are shown below. This does not affect the conclusions of the article.




FIG. 4. Integrated  $K_{\alpha}$  fluorescence energy versus mass fraction of Cu fluor in Al/Cu/Al targets. The front Al layer varied from zero to 500  $\mu\text{m}$ , the Cu layer was 20–25  $\mu\text{m}$ . The back Al layer was 100  $\mu\text{m}$  for the four  $\times$  points, and 40  $\mu\text{m}$  for the +, 10–20  $\mu\text{m}$  otherwise. The open symbols show the predictions from Monte Carlo modeling with an arbitrary relative normalization. The back Al layer in the model is either 16  $\mu\text{m}$  (square) or 100  $\mu\text{m}$  (triangle).